National Research Journal of Information Technology & Information Science ISSN: 2350-1278
Volume No: 13, Issue No: 1, Year: 2026 (January-June) Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal (IF: 7.9)
PP: 330-332 Journal Website www.nrjitis.in

IMPLICATIONS OF SOCIAL MEDIA ALGORITHM IN BROAD VISIBILITY

Venkatraman[1] Mahadevan[2]

[1&2] III B.Com Information Technology Students, Department of Commerce, Rathinam College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore.

Prabhakaran.K[3]

[3] Assistant Professor, Department of Commerce, Rathinam College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore

ABSTRACT

This research explores the profound shifts in digital discovery caused by modern social media algorithms. Historically, broad visibility was a factor of network size and organic growth. Today, algorithms act as autonomous gatekeepers, prioritizing 'interest' over 'connection.' This article examines the mechanics of predictive modeling, the decline of organic reach, and the socio-political effects of content curation. By analyzing platforms like TikTok and Meta, we identify a clear transition in visibility dynamics that favors high-arousal content and paid amplification, leading to significant challenges for information diversity and small-scale creators.

INTRODUCTION

In the contemporary age of the 'Attention Economy,' visibility is the ultimate currency. As billions of individuals and organizations compete for a limited amount of user attention, the algorithms that decide what appears on a user's screen have become the most powerful editorial forces in history. Broad visibility—the capacity for a message to be seen by a wide and varied audience—is no longer a natural byproduct of network effects but a highly engineered outcome. This article deconstructs the implications of these algorithms on the democratization of information and the stability of the digital public sphere.

1.1 The Shifting Landscape of Discovery

A decade ago, social media was primarily a 'pull' medium where users sought out content from their friends. Today, it is a 'push' medium where AI serves content it predicts will maximize 'session length.' This shift from social discovery to algorithmic delivery has redefined 'broad visibility.' Instead of information rippling through human networks, it is now injected into 'interest clusters' identified by machine learning.

1.2 Problem Statement

While algorithmic curation solves the problem of information overload, it introduces a new problem: algorithmic bias and the narrowing of the human experience. When visibility is tied to 'predicted engagement,' nuance, slow-paced information, and dissenting viewpoints are systemically disadvantaged. This research investigates whether broad visibility is still possible for non-conforming content in an AI-curated world.

2. Methodology

This study employs a multi-methodological approach, combining a qualitative review of platform documentation from Meta, ByteDance, and Google, with a synthesis of existing literature on algorithmic sociology. We also analyzed case studies of viral content trends

between 2021 and 2024 to identify recurring patterns in content amplification. By observing the delta between 'Follower Reach' and 'Algorithmic Reach,' we can quantify the extent to which the interest graph has superseded the social graph.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on social media algorithms has evolved through three distinct phases. The first phase (2004-2012) focused on 'Network Effects' and the democratization of voice. The second phase (2013-2018) highlighted the dangers of 'Filter Bubbles' as popularized by Eli Pariser.

Key theoretical foundations include the 'Black Box' theory by Frank Pasquale, which argues that the opacity of these systems makes them immune to traditional accountability. Shoshana Zuboff's 'Surveillance Capitalism' provides a framework for understanding how visibility is monetized through behavioral prediction.

4. THE MECHANICS OF THE INTEREST GRAPH

The fundamental mechanism behind broad visibility today is the 'Interest Graph.' Unlike the Social Graph, which relies on nodes (people) and edges (friendships), the Interest Graph maps nodes (users) to clusters of content based on behavioral affinity. This allows a creator in Tokyo to achieve broad visibility with a user in New York, even if they share no mutual connections.4.1 Engagement Scoring and Retention

Every piece of content is assigned an 'Engagement Score.' This score is calculated using:

1. Dwell Time: The exact number of milliseconds a user stays on a post.

2. Action Bias: The likelihood that a user will click 'share' or 'save,' which are weighted more heavily than 'likes.'

3. Comment Sentiment: Natural Language Processing (NLP) is used to determine if a post is creating 'active conversation' or 'passive scrolling.'

Visibility is expanded in concentric circles. If a post succeeds in the 1% test group, it is pushed to the 10% group, and eventually to the 'global' pool. This creates a winner-take-all dynamic where the top 0.1% of content receives 90% of the visibility.

5. SOCIO-POLITICAL IMPLICATIONS

The implications for democratic discourse are severe. Because algorithms prioritize content that triggers emotional responses, political actors are incentivized to use inflammatory language to achieve visibility. This leads to a 'radicalization loop' where users are shown increasingly extreme versions of their own views to maintain their attention. Broad visibility is thus weaponized by those who can best exploit human cognitive vulnerabilities.

6. ECONOMIC IMPACTS AND THE PAY-TO-PLAY ERA

From an economic perspective, the algorithm has killed the 'free lunch' of social media marketing. For brands, broad visibility is now a paid service. The decline of organic reach has forced businesses into a constant cycle of ad spending. Furthermore, the rise of 'UGC-style' advertising—where brands pay influencers to make content that doesn't 'look' like an ad—is a direct response to algorithmic preferences for 'authentic' appearing content.

7. CASE STUDIES OF ALGORITHMIC SHIFT

TikTok serves as the primary case study for 'Interest-Based' broad visibility. Its algorithm is so effective at predicting user interest that it has achieved the highest average session time of

any digital platform. In contrast, X (formerly Twitter) has struggled with visibility since its move to a 'For You' default feed, illustrating the difficulty of shifting a social-graph community to an interest-graph model without significant user pushback.

8. ETHICAL DILEMMAS: SHADOWBANNING AND CENSORSHIP

The lack of transparency in algorithmic decision-making has led to widespread claims of shadowbanning. Creators from marginalized backgrounds often report that their content is systemically suppressed when discussing sensitive social issues. Because these decisions are automated and 'hidden' within the code, there is no clear path for appeal, leading to a new form of digital disenfranchisement. Visibility is not just a matter of fame; it is a matter of the right to be heard.

9. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE OUTLOOK

In conclusion, the implications of social media algorithms on broad visibility are transformative. We have moved from a digital world of 'connections' to a world of 'curations.' While this offers incredible opportunities for creators to reach global audiences without established networks, it comes at a high cost to social cohesion, corporate fairness, and individual mentalhealth.

The future will likely see a move toward 'Decentralized Social Media' and algorithmic choices, where users can choose the logic that governs their feed. Until then, the burden remains on platforms and regulators to ensure that the quest for visibility does not destroy the integrity of our information ecosystem.

REFERENCES

1. 1. Zuboff, S. (2019). The Age of Surveillance Capitalism. PublicAffairs.
2. 2. Pasquale, F. (2015). The Black Box Society: The Secret Algorithms That Control Money and Information. Harvard University Press.
3. 3. Pariser, E. (2011). The Filter Bubble. Penguin Press.
4. 4. Noble, S. U. (2018). Algorithms of Oppression. NYU Press.
5. 5. Gillespie, T. (2018). Custodians of the Internet. Yale University Press.
6. 6. Boyd, d. (2014). It's Complicated: The Social Lives of Networked Teens.
7. 7. Meta Business (2024). Understanding the News Feed Ranking.
8. 8. TikTok Newsroom (2024). How TikTok Recommends Content #ForYou.